

(The Art of Mac Malware) Volume 1: Analysis

Chapter 0x4: Static Analysis (Intro)

Note:
This book is a work in progress.
You are encouraged to directly comment on these pagessuggesting edits, corrections, and/or additional content!
To comment, simply highlight any content, then click the 💻 icon which appears (to the right on the document's border).

The Art of Mac Malware: Analysis p. wardle



Statically analyzing a (suspected) malware specimen involves examining the specimen without actually running or executing it. Such analysis relies on various static analysis tools and usually culminates with a disassembler or decompiler.

In this chapter, we'll comprehensively cover methods of static analysis, starting with the basics, such as file type identification and extraction from an installation medium.

Once a sample has been extracted (e.g. from a disk image or package), it's often in one of two forms: a script or a (Mach-O) binary.



the flow of static analysis

Because of their "plaintext readability," scripts are rather easy to manually analyze ...though we'll still cover various analysis techniques and apply them to real-world macOS malware samples.

On the other hand, the binary format of Mach-O executables presents some unique challenges and requires specific analysis tools. As such, a significant portion of this book is dedicated to both the internals of this file format and corresponding static analysis tools.

Note: Is it safe to statically analyze malware on your computer (i.e. not in a virtual machine)?

Generally yes, as by definition static analysis, is well, static ...meaning the malicious code is never run.

That having been said, it is still considered best practice to always analyze malware in a compartmented virtual machine! Better safe than sorry, ya?

For a detailed overview of setting up such a VM, see:

"How to Reverse Malware on macOS Without Getting Infected" [1]

File Type Identification

As noted, most (static) analysis tools are file-type specific. Thus, the first step in analyzing (what may be) a malicious file is identifying its file type.

Often, malware authors will attempt to mask the true file type of their creation in order to trick or coerce the user into running it. As such, it goes without saying that looks can be deceiving and a file's type should not be identified solely by its appearance (icon) or what appears to be its file extension.

For example, <u>OSX.WindTail</u> [2] is specifically designed to masquerade as benign Microsoft Office documents:

The Art of Mac Malware: Analysis p. wardle



In reality, the file(s) are malicious applications that, when executed, will persistently infect the system.

At the other end of the spectrum, malicious files may also have no icon, nor file extension. Moreover a cursory triage of the contents of such files may provide no clues about the file's actual type.

For example, here we have a (suspected) malicious file simply named VtZkT [3] ...of some unknown binary format:

<pre>\$ hexdump</pre>	- C	VtZ	ZkT														
00000000	03	f3	0d	0a	97	93	55	5b	63	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	U[c
00000010	00	03	00	00	00	40	00	00	00	73	36	00	00	00	64	00	@s6d.
00000020	00	64	01	00	6c	00	00	5a	00	00	64	00	00	64	01	00	.dlZdd
00000030	6c	01	00	5a	01	00	65	00	00	6a	02	00	65	01	00	6a	1Zejej
00000040	03	00	64	02	00	83	01	00	83	01	00	64	01	00	04	55	ddU
00000050	64	01	00	53	28	03	00	00	00	69	ff	ff	ff	ff	4e	73	dS(iNs
00000060	d8	08	00	00	65	4a	79	64	56	2b	6c	54	49	6a	6b	55	<pre> eJydV+lTIjkU </pre>
00000070	2f	38	35	66	51	56	47	31	53	33	71	4c	61	52	78	6e	/85fQVG1S3qLaRxn

00000080	6e	42	6d	6e	4e	6c	73	4f	6c	2b	41	67	49	71	43	67	nBmnNlsOl+AgIqCg
00000090	4c	45	76	31	45	53	54	59	46	2b	6c	75	44	69	33	2f	LEv1ESTYF+luDi3/
000000a0	39	33	31	4a	4f	6b	32	72	75	47	50	74	46	7a	70	35	931JOk2ruGPtFzp5

Since static analysis tools are largely file type specific, identifying this file's type is imperative in order to continue static analysis. So, how do we effectively identify a file's format? Via macOS's built-in file command. As noted in its man page [4], this command has one job: to "determine [a] file's type":



For example, running the file command on the unknown VtZkT file, reveals the file is byte-compiled Python code:



More on this soon, but knowing that a file is byte-compiled Python code allows us to leverage various tools *specific to this file format* (for example, we can reconstruct a readable representation of the original python code using a python decompiler).

Returning to OSX.WindTail, we can again use the file utility to reveal that the malicious files (that masquerade as Office documents) are actually applications bundles, containing 64-bit Mach-O executables:



Up Next

The Art of Mac Malware: Analysis p. wardle

In this chapter, we introduced the concept of static analysis and highlighted how macOS's built-in file utility can effectively identify a file's true type. This, of course, is an important first analysis step as many static analysis tools are file type specific!

Next up, let's look at various file types one is likely to encounter while analyzing Mac malware. Some file types (e.g. disk images) are simply used to distribute malware and thus the goal is to extract the malicious contents for analysis. The actual malware comes in various other file formats, such as scripts and binaries.

For each file type, we'll briefly discuss its purpose, as well as highlight static analysis tools that can be used to analyze said file format.

References

- 1. "How to Reverse Malware on macOS Without Getting Infected" https://www.sentinelone.com/blog/how-to-reverse-macos-malware-part-one/
- 2. "Middle East Cyber-Espionage: Analyzing WindShift's implant: OSX.WindTail" https://objective-see.com/blog/blog_0x3B.html
- 3. "Mac Adware, à la Python"
 <u>https://objective-see.com/blog/blog_0x3F.html</u>
- 4. file utility
 x-man-page://file